

OCT 4, 2024

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Danville Division

LAURA A. AUSTIN, CLERK  
BY: s/B. McAbee  
DEPUTY CLERK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	Crim. No. 4:24cr00007
v.	)	
	)	<b><u>REPORT &amp; RECOMMENDATION</u></b>
TERESA LYN TAYLOR,	)	
Defendant.	)	By: Joel C. Hoppe
	)	United States Magistrate Judge

This case was referred to the undersigned magistrate judge for the purpose of conducting a felony guilty plea hearing. This report and recommendation is submitted to the presiding district judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(3). The defendant waived her right to plead before a United States District Judge, and she consented to proceed before the undersigned magistrate judge.

On October 4, 2024, the defendant appeared with counsel before the magistrate judge, who personally addressed the defendant and admonished her pursuant to Rule 11(b)(1)(A)–(O) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Discussing the district judge’s role of determining a sentence, the Court explained to the defendant that the sentencing range under the Sentencing Guidelines, which are advisory, not mandatory, would not be determined until a presentence report is written and a sentencing hearing held, that the district judge had the authority to impose a sentence more or less severe than called for in the guidelines, and that the sentence the defendant receives may be different than any estimate given by her attorney. The Court also admonished the defendant that if the district judge does not accept a recommendation set forth in the plea agreement, the defendant will still be bound by her plea and will have no right to withdraw it. The defendant stated that she understood the Court’s admonishments. The attorney for the Government stated the essential terms of the plea agreement, which has been filed with

the Court, and the defendant and her counsel agreed that those in fact were the terms of the agreement. The defendant further stated that she had read the plea agreement, had reviewed it with her counsel, and understood it. Accordingly, the undersigned finds that the defendant understands the charges to which she is pleading guilty and that her plea was knowingly made. Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(1).

The Court also addressed the defendant personally as to her competency to plead and the voluntariness of her plea, specifically whether it resulted from force, threats, or promises other than promises made in a plea agreement or in open court. Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(2). Based on this discussion, the undersigned finds the defendant to be sufficiently competent to enter a plea, that she desired to plead guilty, and that her plea was voluntary.

The government presented a statement of facts, which has been filed with the Court, regarding the offenses to which the defendant pleaded guilty. The defendant testified that she read the statement of facts and discussed it with her attorney. The defendant agreed that the statement of facts was accurate and did not contest those facts. No additional facts beyond those contained in the written statement of facts were presented at the guilty plea hearing.

The undersigned finds that the statement of facts provides a sufficient basis for the defendant's guilty plea to the charges of conspiracy to possess with the intent to distribute and to distribute fentanyl, conspiracy to possess with the intent to distribute and to distribute methamphetamine, distribution of a substance containing fentanyl, and distribution of a substance containing methamphetamine. Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(3).

The defendant pleaded guilty to Count One of the Indictment, conspiracy to possess with the intent to distribute and to distribute fentanyl, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846, 841(a)(1), & 841(b)(1)(C); Count Two of the Indictment, conspiracy to possess with the intent to distribute

and to distribute methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846, 841(a)(1), & 841(b)(1)(C); Count Four of the Indictment, distribution of a substance containing fentanyl, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) & 841(b)(1)(C); and Count Seven of the Indictment, distribution of a substance containing methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) & 841(b)(1)(C).

The magistrate judge finds the following:

1. The defendant's guilty plea was taken by the undersigned subject to the acceptance of the plea and sentencing by the assigned district judge and after the defendant consulted with an attorney and executed oral and written waivers;

2. The defendant fully understands the nature of the charges and relevant penalties;

3. The defendant fully understands the terms of the plea agreement;

4. The defendant fully understands her constitutional and statutory rights and wishes to waive those rights;

5. The defendant's guilty plea was freely and voluntarily tendered;

6. The defendant is sufficiently competent to enter a guilty plea; and

7. An adequate factual basis exists to support this plea.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

The magistrate judge RECOMMENDS that the district judge accept the plea of guilty and the plea agreement and find the defendant guilty.

#### **RELEASE OR DETENTION PENDING SENTENCING**

After entry of the plea, the undersigned conducted proceedings to determine the defendant's custody status pursuant to the standard set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a). Based on the following, the undersigned finds that clear and convincing evidence exists that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to the community if released:

The defendant has been on pretrial release, no violations have been reported, and neither the government nor probation requested a change in status.

Because this Report and Recommendation recommends finding, rather than actually finds, the defendant guilty of a controlled substance offense, the mandatory detention provision of § 3143(a)(2), which is based on the nature of the offense, does not apply at this time. The undersigned magistrate judge is not aware of any evidence supporting a finding under § 3143(a)(2)(A).

**NOTICE TO PARTIES**

Notice is hereby given to the parties of the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C):

Within fourteen days after being served with a copy [of this Report and Recommendation], any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by rules of court. A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made. A judge of the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge. The judge may also receive further evidence or recommit the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.

Failure to file timely written objections to these proposed findings and recommendations within 14 days could waive appellate review. At the conclusion of the 14 day period, the Clerk is directed to transmit the record in this matter to the presiding district judge.

The Clerk shall send certified copies of this Report and Recommendation to all counsel of record.

ENTER: October 4, 2024

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joel C. Hoppe".

Joel C. Hoppe  
United States Magistrate Judge